

Performance Related Specifications for Asphalt Superpave and QC/QA

Widespread use of appropriate tests and specifications for QC/QA of materials that measure and influence the critical properties affecting pavement performance; integrate with materials and pavement design procedures

CONCEPT	RESEARCH	DEVELOPMENT		IMPLEMENTATION
SCOPE Performance related tests and specifications for use with ashalt pavement of all types	Effects of Smoothness on GHG 3.28	CaIME v. 1.0	3.24 Support set up and operation of CT smoothness specs	3.37 Additional updating of PRS spec. based on field experience and test improvements
	West Track Pay Factors	CalME v. 2.0	3.30 Guidance for contractors to meet PRS specs	3.37 Training for contractors and owners
	Goal 1 Caltrans Pay Factor Report	3.32 HWTT round robin 3.33 Updates to LLAC approaches and extension to other mixes	Helped develop updated AASHTO & ASTM T321 tests for 4 point beam	3.37 Support LLAC projects - QA testing for Sac-5
	Goal 1 Compaction, PRS tests, pre-CalME ME design method ISAP, 1996 paper & pay factors		4.46, 4.51a, NCST, Initial use of FAM compared to mix tests	3.40 Alternative specimen preparation to remove as much cutting as possible
Asphalt-Aggregate Mix Analysis System (AAMAS) NCHRP	Long life asphalt specs for LA-710 mix design, structural design	Define relationship between binder, FAM and mix properties, and test methods and specifications • Fatigue • Rutting • Stiffness • Other properties	3.40 comparison of plant and lab aging effects on performance properties	Review and update process for setting PRS specification in terms of test variability and contractor requirement to meet specification
SHRP A-003A	Updates to LLAC specs 2014 – 2016 Teh-5, Sis-5, Sol-80 and design with CalMe 2	3.37 PRS development Simplified PRS procedures Monitoring of previous LLAC projects	3.40 Further comparison of SCB vs 4PB and RSST vs RLT	Support document for contractors and agency labs to get set up for PRS. databases, QC, training, eqpt, etc.
AC Long Life Specifications – Asphalt Caltrans, TRB symposium	3.18 Phase 2 review of potential PRS tests for Caltrans SuperPave mix design	Pilot projects for using SCB and/or RLT 3.40 Commercial adoption of PRS tests	Finalize lab mix specimen preparation, aging, conditioning, compaction, mixing, etc.	Further improvements in recommendation for appropriate
New Construction Quality Database Caltrans METS	3.25 PRS for open graded materials, including rubberized	Further improvement of moisture spec. based on field validation (antistrip)	lab to match plant mix, account for plant processes, silo times	tests based on field experience in different types of projects PRS for routine projects with different levels of reliability of
	4.42 Evaluation of previous repairs on smoothness	Comparison of smoothness under old and new specifications	PRS for geogrids, interlayer materials. Lab tests, PRS. APT maybe	Precision and bias for flexural beam, RLT, simple cracking test, stiffness: continued development of simplified
	3.40 Continue review of SCB and RLT as PRS tests for Caltrans SuperPave mix design (the border	Update parameters for HWTT for rubberized mixes Continued development of FAM as a PRS mix test Low temperature crack tests for PRS for	Alternative "failure" parameter for very flexible mixes, like rubber and polymer, apply to all mixes for PRS	cracking tests, correlated with fatigue SCB and IDEAL tests with new parameters
	Use of image analysis in cracking tests to look at strain fields			[Implementation of NCHRP 9-62 in place recycling research]
			Develop CIR, CCPR tests and specifications (with NCHRP 9-62)	PaveM follow up on PRS projects
		binder, instead of just current rheological properties		



